(1)

3

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATTISTGARH at BILASPUR.

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3393 / 2006.

PETITIONER : **J. P. Rajesh Kumar**
S/o Late Shri. D.Johnson
Aged around 33 years,
Unemployed
Residing at : CB- 7, Room No. J,
Camp No. 1, Supela Post
BHILAI - 490001
Tehsil and Dist. : Durg (CG).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.,
Through : Its Chairman,
Steel Authority of India Ltd.
Lodhi Road, Ispat Bhawan,
NEW DELHI – 110011.

2. BHILAI STEEL PLANT,
Through : Its Managing Director,
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Ispat Bhawan, **BHILAI - 490001**
District : Durg (CG).

3. The Senior Executive,
(Personnel – Recruitment)
Bhilai Steel Plant,
BHILAI – 490001
District : Durg (CG)

P.R. No. 2444/06
Presented by Shri B P Rao
dated 5/5/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER AND DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY, MANDAMUS ETC.

30

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WPS No. 2393/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	8-5-2006 Heard Shri B.P. Rao, counsel for the petitioner. By the instant petition, the petitioner has prayed that respondent No.3 be directed to consider the case of the petitioner on compassionate ground. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner's father was an employee of the Bhilai Steel Plant, he was suffering from kidney problem and was declared permanent unfit by the Director of M & H Services, Bhilai Steel Plant on 22.12.1993. Subsequently, vide order dated 16.2.1994 his services were terminated. As per the settlement if any employee who was declared permanent medically unfit for the job; the dependent family member is entitled to be appointed on a suitable job on compassionate ground. The father of the petitioner submitted an application for the petitioner. However, father of the petitioner died on 17.8.1994. The petitioner was called by respondent No.3 for verification of his educational and other relevant documents. Accordingly, the petitioner appeared before the concerned officer and his testimonials were verified. However, respondent No.3 has not passed any order. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the circumstances, respondent No.3 be directed to consider the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment.	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

31

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	Prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the application of the petitioner regarding compassionate appointment in accordance with the policy of respondent No.2 regarding compassionate appointment within a period of 3 months from today. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.4758/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

[पीछे देखिये

11.5.06